

Fluctuation dissipation ratio in the one dimensional kinetic Ising model

E. Lippiello and M. Zannetti

*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica della Materia, Unità di Salerno and Dipartimento di Fisica,
Università di Salerno, 84081 Baronissi (Salerno), Italy*

Abstract

The exact relation between the response function $R(t, t')$ and the two time correlation function $C(t, t')$ is derived analytically in the one dimensional kinetic Ising model subjected to a temperature quench. The fluctuation dissipation ratio $X(t, t')$ is found to depend on time through $C(t, t')$ in the time region where scaling $C(t, t') = f(t/t')$ holds. The crossover from the non-trivial form $X(C(t, t'))$ to $X(t, t') \equiv 1$ takes place as the waiting time t_w is increased from below to above the equilibration time t_{eq} .

PACS: 05.70.Ln, 64.75.+g

e-mail: lippiello@sa.infn.it, zannetti@sa.infn.it

I. INTRODUCTION

The time evolution of a system relaxing to equilibrium is characterized by two distinct time regimes: the off equilibrium transient for $t < t_{eq}$ and the stationary equilibrium evolution for $t > t_{eq}$, where t_{eq} is the equilibration time. Normally, t_{eq} is smaller than experimental times and one can actually observe equilibration. However, the existence of situations in which the opposite is true, namely where t_{eq} exceeds by far any practical observation time as in glassy systems at low temperature, has contributed to arise a lot of interest in the off equilibrium relaxation regime. It turns out that quite a useful tool in the investigation

of these slowly relaxing systems, with and without disorder, is the fluctuation dissipation relation.

For definiteness, let us consider a system in equilibrium at some temperature T_I . At the time $t = 0$ a quench to a lower temperature T_F is performed. On top of this primary relaxation process a second one is activated by switching on an external field at the time $t_w > 0$. Characterizing the response of the system under the action of the perturbation by the response function $R(t, t')$, the search for a fluctuation dissipation relation aims to connect $R(t, t')$ to the relevant correlation function $C(t, t')$ in the unperturbed relaxation process. Given $R(t, t')$ and $C(t, t')$ one can always write

$$R(t, t') = \frac{X(t, t')}{T_F} \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} C(t, t') \quad (1)$$

where $t \geq t'$. Without any further specification this is just a definition of the quantity $X(t, t')$, which is called the fluctuation dissipation ratio (FDR). Eq. (1) acquires predictive power when independent statements are made about the FDR. Thus, if the shortest time t' is greater than the equilibration time t_{eq} , i.e. if one looks into the time translation invariant equilibrium dynamics, the fluctuation dissipation theorem (FDT) requires $X(t, t') \equiv 1$. This is no more true when $t' < t_{eq}$. However, if appropriate conditions on t', t, t_{eq} are satisfied it may turn out that $X(t, t')$ depends on the time arguments only through $C(t, t')$. This was first discovered by Cugliandolo and Kurchan [1] in the context of mean field spin glass models at low temperature. In that case the system does not equilibrate ($t_{eq} = \infty$) and $X(t, t') = X(C(t, t'))$ in the asymptotic limit of large times. Subsequently the validity of this relation was verified for finite dimensional spin glass models [2] and also in the coarsening processes of non disordered systems [3,4]. As a matter of fact a classification of slowly relaxing systems can be made [5] on the basis of the behavior of $X(C)$.

The relation between X and C is important for different reasons. From the point of view of analytical calculations it allows to close the equations of motion for R and C [1]. From the more fundamental point of view of the understanding of the off equilibrium dynamics it can be related to the effective temperature of different dynamical modes [6] and under

certain hypothesis it provides a connection between the relaxation regime and the structure of equilibrium states [7].

In this paper we analyse the relaxation to equilibrium in the one dimensional kinetic Ising model quenched from the initial temperature T_I to the lower final temperature T_F . The correlation length then grows from some initial value ξ_I , which we assume $O(1)$, to the final value

$$\xi_F = -[\log \tanh(J/T_F)]^{-1} \quad (2)$$

where $J > 0$ is the nearest neighbors ferromagnetic interaction. The equilibration time is defined by

$$t_{eq} = \xi_F^2. \quad (3)$$

By lowering the temperature of the quench t_{eq} can be tuned at will with $\lim_{T_F \rightarrow 0} t_{eq} = \infty$ allowing for the investigation of the slow relaxation coming from the high temperature side. We compute the response function $R(t, t')$ after switching on a random external field at the time t_w after the quench. We are then able to analyse in detail the changeover from the equilibrium to the off equilibrium regime by monitoring the change in the FDR (or in the integrated response) as t_w is varied from $t_w > t_{eq}$ to $t_w < t_{eq}$. When $t_w > t_{eq}$ dynamics is time translation invariant and the usual FDT holds. When the region $t_w < t_{eq}$ is entered the deviation from FDT occurs. However, if the difference between t_w and t_{eq} is sufficiently large, there is a range of values between t_w and t_{eq} where $C(t, t')$ scales. Whithin this range the FDR depends only on C , while outside there remains an explicit dependence on t_w . In other words with a finite but large t_{eq} the off equilibrium dynamics follows the pattern of interrupted aging and we find that the FDR depends only on C as long as aging holds. The case of the zero temperature quench is the limiting case ($t_{eq} = \infty$) where aging occurs for arbitrarily large times yielding $X(t, t') = X(C(t, t'))$ at all times.

II. UNPERTURBED CORRELATION FUNCTIONS

In the following we consider a one dimensional ferromagnetic Ising model with nearest neighbor interaction

$$\mathcal{H}[\sigma] = -J \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \quad (4)$$

evolving in time with Glauber single spin flip dynamics

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P([\sigma], t) = \sum_i \{w(-\sigma_i) P([R_i \sigma], t) - w(\sigma_i) P([\sigma], t)\} \quad (5)$$

where $P([\sigma], t)$ is the probability of realization of the configuration $[\sigma]$ at the time t , $[R_i \sigma]$ is the configuration with the i -th spin reversed

$$w(\sigma_i) = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} \sigma_i (\sigma_{i-1} + \sigma_{i+1}) \right] \quad (6)$$

is the transition rate from $[\sigma]$ to $[R_i \sigma]$ and $\gamma = \tanh(\frac{2J}{T_F})$.

Given an initial probability distribution $P([\sigma], t = 0)$, with the choice (6) for the transition rate the solution of (5) for large time reaches the equilibrium Gibbs state $P_{eq}[\sigma] = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(-\frac{1}{T_F} \mathcal{H}[\sigma])$. The dynamics in the one dimensional case has been solved by Glauber [8]. Let us summarise those properties of the time dependent correlation functions which will be needed in the following. Assuming that the initial state $P([\sigma], t = 0)$ is symmetrical the probability distribution $P([\sigma], t)$ remains symmetrical throughout yielding $\langle \sigma_i(t) \rangle \equiv 0$ for all time. The equal time and the two time correlation functions then are defined by

$$D_{ij}(t) = \sum_{[\sigma]} \sigma_i \sigma_j P([\sigma], t) \quad (7)$$

$$C_{ij}(t, t') = \sum_{[\sigma][\sigma']} \sigma_i \sigma'_j P([\sigma'], t') P([\sigma'], t' | [\sigma], t) \quad (8)$$

with $t \geq t'$ and $C_{ij}(t, t) = D_{ij}(t)$. $P([\sigma'], t' | [\sigma], t)$ is the conditional probability to find the system in the configuration $[\sigma]$ at the time t , given that it was in the configuration $[\sigma']$ at the earlier time t' . We assume that space translation invariance holds at all times.

From (5) and (7) one can show that the equal time correlation function satisfies the equation of motion

$$\frac{d}{dt}D_{ij}(t) = -2D_{ij}(t) + \frac{\gamma}{2}[D_{i,j-1}(t) + D_{i,j+1}(t) + D_{i-1,j}(t) + D_{i+1,j}(t)] \quad (9)$$

for $i \neq j$ and $\frac{d}{dt}D_{ii}(t) = 0$, since $D_{ii}(t) = 1$. Similarly, from (8) taking into account that also the conditional probability satisfies the master equation (5) one finds

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}C_{ij}(t) = -C_{ij}(t, t') + \frac{\gamma}{2}[C_{i-1,j}(t, t') + C_{i+1,j}(t, t')]. \quad (10)$$

The single spin conditional expectation can be computed explicitly obtaining [8]

$$\sum_{[\sigma]} P([\sigma'], t' | [\sigma], t) \sigma_i = \sum_l \sigma'_l F_{i-l}(t - t') \quad (11)$$

where $F_{i-m}(t - t') = e^{-(t-t')} I_{i-m}(\gamma(t - t'))$ and $I_n(x)$ are the Bessel functions of imaginary argument. Then, using the definitions (7) and (8), the two times and the equal times correlation functions are related by

$$C_{ij}(t, t') = \sum_l D_{jl}(t') F_{i-l}(t - t') \quad (12)$$

or in Fourier space one finds

$$C_k(t, t') = D_k(t') e^{-\gamma_k(t-t')} \quad (13)$$

with $\gamma_k = 1 - \gamma \cos k$. Given the initial condition $D_{i,j}(0)$ Eq.(9) can be solved exactly [8]. After some microscopic time t_0 , which we assume much smaller than t_{eq} , memory of the initial condition is lost and for $k \ll 1$, $\xi_F \gg 1$ one has [9]

$$D_k(t') = 2\left(\frac{t'}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{k^2 + \xi_F^{-2}} \int_0^1 dy y^{-1/2} [\xi_F^{-2} e^{-\xi_F^{-2} t' y} + k^2 e^{-(k^2 + \xi_F^{-2}) t' + k^2 t' y}] \quad (14)$$

where we have expanded γ_k to lowest order in k and ξ_F^{-1} using $\gamma = 1/\cosh(\xi_F^{-1})$. The form (14) for the equal time structure factor obeys the scaling relation $D_k(t') = (t')^{1/2} g(k^2 t', t'/t_{eq})$ with the limits

$$D_k(t') \sim \begin{cases} (t_{eq})^{1/2} g_{eq}(k^2 t_{eq}) & \text{for } t'/t_{eq} \gg 1 \\ (t')^{1/2} g_{sc}(k^2 t') & \text{for } t'/t_{eq} \ll 1. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Inserting (14) into (13) and inverting the Fourier transform, the corresponding scaling form for the two time correlation function is obtained $C_{i,j}(t, t') = f\left(\frac{|i-j|}{(t')^{1/2}}, \frac{t}{t'}, \frac{t'}{t_{eq}}\right)$ with the limiting behaviors

$$C_{i,j}(t, t') \sim \begin{cases} f_{eq}\left(\frac{|i-j|}{(t_{eq})^{1/2}}, \frac{t-t'}{t_{eq}}\right) & \text{for } t'/t_{eq} \gg 1 \\ f_{sc}\left(\frac{|i-j|}{(t')^{1/2}}, \frac{t}{t'}\right) & \text{for } t'/t_{eq} \ll 1. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

The use of the small k approximation (14) is justified since for small enough T_F the structure factor builds up a large and narrow peak about $k = 0$ which gives the main contribution to the integral over k . In the following it will be sufficient to consider the autocorrelation function ($i = j$). In the case of the zero temperature quench the scaling behavior at the bottom of (16) is obeyed for all times since $t_{eq} = \infty$ and the explicit form of the scaling function is given by [9,10]

$$C_{i,i}(t, t') = f_{sc}(t/t') = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{2}{1 + \frac{t}{t'}}}. \quad (17)$$

With $T_F > 0$ and $t_{eq} < \infty$, $C_{i,i}(t, t')$ can be computed by a combination of analytical and numerical integration (Appendix). In Fig.1 we have plotted $\log C_{i,i}(t, t')$ against $x = (\frac{t}{t'} - 1)$ for different values of $\tau = t'/t_{eq}$ illustrating the crossover from the scaling form (17) to the exponential decay corresponding to the top of (16) as τ grows from small to large values.

III. RESPONSE FUNCTION

As stated in the Introduction, let us now assume that after the quench to T_F , at some time $t_w > 0$, a site and time dependent external field $h_i(t)$ is switched on. We are interested in the response in the magnetization to the action of this field. More specifically, we wish to investigate the relation between the magnetization response and the correlation function in absence of the field.

For sufficiently small external field, the response in the magnetization at site i and $t > t_w$ is given by linear response theory

$$\Delta \langle \sigma_i(t) \rangle = \langle \sigma_i(t) \rangle_h - \langle \sigma_i(t) \rangle_{h=0} = \sum_j \int_{t_w}^t dt' R_{i,j}(t, t') h_j(t') \quad (18)$$

where

$$R_{i,j}(t, t') = \frac{\delta < \sigma_i(t) >_h}{\delta h_j(t')} \bigg|_{h=0} \quad (19)$$

is the causal response function. The difference between the two expectation values in (18) is given by $\Delta < \sigma_i(t) > = \sum_{[\sigma]} \sigma_i \Delta P([\sigma], t)$ where $\Delta P([\sigma], t) = P_h([\sigma], t) - P([\sigma], t)$ is the difference between the probabilities with and without the field. The time evolution of $P_h([\sigma], t)$ is given by the master equation (5) with the transition rate (6) replaced by $w_h(\sigma_i) = w(\sigma_i) + \Delta w(\sigma_i)$ where $\Delta w(\sigma_i) = -\tanh(\frac{h_i}{T_F}) \sigma_i w(\sigma_i)$. Taking h_i/T_F sufficiently small $\tanh(\frac{h_i}{T_F}) \simeq \frac{h_i}{T_F}$ and following [8] up to first order we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta P([\sigma], t) = & \frac{1}{T_F} \sum_{[\sigma']} \sum_i \sigma'_i \int_{t_w}^t dt' h_i(t') [w(\sigma'_i) P([\sigma'], t') + \\ & w(-\sigma'_i) P([R_i \sigma'], t')] P([\sigma'], t' | [\sigma], t) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

yielding

$$\begin{aligned} R_{i,j}(t, t') = & \frac{1}{T_F} \sum_{[\sigma] [\sigma']} \sigma'_j [w(\sigma'_j) P([\sigma'], t') + w(-\sigma'_j) P([R_j \sigma'], t')] \\ & P([\sigma'], t' | [\sigma], t) \sigma_i. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Performing the sum over $[\sigma]$ first and using (11) we find

$$\begin{aligned} R_{i,j}(t, t') = & \frac{1}{T_F} \sum_{[\sigma']} \sum_l \sigma'_j \sigma'_l [w(\sigma'_j) P([\sigma'], t') + \\ & w(-\sigma'_j) P([R_j \sigma'], t')] F_{i-l}(t - t') \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

and since only the term with $l = j$ survives in the summation we have

$$R_{i,j}(t, t') = \frac{1}{T_F} \left[1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} (D_{j,j-1}(t') + D_{j,j+1}(t')) \right] F_{i-j}(t - t'). \quad (23)$$

In order to recast this result in terms of $C_{i,j}(t, t')$ let us differentiate (12) with respect to the time arguments

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} C_{i,j}(t, t') = & \sum_l \frac{dD_{j,l}(t')}{dt'} F_{i-l}(t - t') + \\ & \sum_l D_{j,l}(t') \frac{d}{dt'} F_{i-l}(t - t') \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}C_{i,j}(t, t') = - \sum_l D_{j,l}(t') \frac{d}{dt'} F_{i-l}(t - t') \quad (25)$$

Adding (24) and (25) the summation in (25) cancels the second one in (24) yielding

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t'}C_{i,j}(t, t') + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}C_{i,j}(t, t') = \sum_l \frac{dD_{j,l}(t')}{dt'} F_{i-l}(t - t'). \quad (26)$$

Next, using (9) for the time derivative when $l \neq j$, adding and subtracting a similar contribution with $l = j$ and using translational invariance we can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t'}C_{i,j}(t, t') + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}C_{i,j}(t, t') = & -2 \sum_l \left\{ D_{j,l}(t') - \frac{\gamma}{2}[D_{j,l+1}(t') + D_{j,l-1}(t')] \right\} F_{i-l}(t - t') + \\ & 2 \left\{ D_{j,j}(t') - \frac{\gamma}{2}[D_{j,j+1}(t') + D_{j,j-1}(t')] \right\} F_{i-j}(t - t'). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Using (12) and (10) the first sum in the right hand side is given by $2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}C_{i,j}(t, t')$, while the second term coincides, up to a constant factor, with the right hand side of (23), since $D_{j,j}(t') = 1$. Therefore, we finally get the following expression for the response function

$$R_{i,j}(t, t') = \frac{1}{2T_F} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t'}C_{i,j}(t, t') - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}C_{i,j}(t, t') \right] \quad (28)$$

which can be rewritten in the form (1)

$$R_{i,j}(t, t') = \frac{X_{i,j}(t, t')}{T_F} \frac{\partial}{\partial t'}C_{i,j}(t, t') \quad (29)$$

with

$$X_{i,j}(t, t') = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}C_{i,j}(t, t')}{\frac{\partial}{\partial t'}C_{i,j}(t, t')} \right]. \quad (30)$$

Alternatively, we can expose the deviation from FDT through an additive term

$$R_{i,j}(t, t') = \frac{1}{T_F} \frac{\partial}{\partial t'}C_{i,j}(t, t') - \frac{1}{2T_F} B_{i,j}(t, t') \quad (31)$$

with

$$B_{i,j}(t, t') = \frac{\partial}{\partial t'}C_{i,j}(t, t') + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}C_{i,j}(t, t'). \quad (32)$$

When time translation invariance holds we have either $X_{i,j}(t, t') = 1$ or $B_{i,j}(t, t') = 0$ and the usual FDT is recovered.

IV. RANDOM EXTERNAL FIELD

In the simulations [4] the external field is taken random with site independent bimodal distribution

$$P[h] = \Pi_i \left[\frac{1}{2} \delta(h_i - h) + \frac{1}{2} \delta(h_i + h) \right]. \quad (33)$$

The reason for this choice is not to bias the evolution toward the formation of predominantly positive or negative domains through the introduction of the external perturbation. The quantity of interest then is the staggered magnetization

$$M(t, t_w) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \overline{\Delta < \sigma_i(t) > \frac{h_i}{h}} \quad (34)$$

where the bar represents the average over the field configurations. From (18) and (33) the integrated response is given by

$$\chi_{ii}(t, t_w) = \frac{1}{h} M(t, t_w) = \int_{t_w}^t dt' R_{ii}(t, t'). \quad (35)$$

Dropping the double index and inserting the form (29) of the response function, if the FDR depends on time only through $C(t, t')$ we have

$$T_F \chi(C(t, t_w)) = \int_{C(t, t_w)}^1 dC X(C) \quad (36)$$

namely also the integrated response depends on time only through the autocorrelation function. This occurs when FDT holds with $X(C) = 1$ yielding

$$T_F \chi(C(t, t_w)) = [1 - C(t, t_w)] \quad (37)$$

and when the scaling form (17) holds. In that case from (30) follows

$$X(t, t') = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \frac{t'}{t} \right] \quad (38)$$

and inverting (17)

$$\frac{t'}{t} = \frac{\sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2} C(t, t') \right)}{2 - \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2} C(t, t') \right)} \quad (39)$$

we find

$$X(C) = \frac{1}{2 - \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}C\right)}. \quad (40)$$

Inserting this into (36) we obtain

$$T_F\chi(C(t, t_w)) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \arctan \left[\sqrt{2} \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{2}C(t, t_w)\right) \right]. \quad (41)$$

We have then proceeded to compute (Appendix) $T_F\chi(t, t_w)$ with the values of the parameters ($t_{eq} = 10^3$) corresponding to the behavior of the autocorrelation function displayed in Fig.1 and we have plotted $T_F\chi(t, t_w)$ against $C(t, t_w)$ in Fig.2 for different values of t_w/t_{eq} . In order to understand the plot notice that if t_{eq} is finite, from (35) follows $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T_F\chi(t, t_w) = \frac{T_E}{h} M_{eq} = 1$ where M_{eq} is the equilibrium value of the magnetization. On the other hand, with a finite t_{eq} one has also $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} C(t, t_w) = 0$. Therefore, when plotting $T_F\chi$ vs. C all the curves starting out at $(C = 1, T_F\chi = 0)$ must end up in the same point $(C = 0, T_F\chi = 1)$. The dependence on t_w/t_{eq} enters on how the initial and the final point are joined. Thus, if $t_w/t_{eq} > 1$, FDT holds over the entire time interval (t_w, t) and the plot is linear according to (37). However, if $t_w/t_{eq} < 1$ then it is possible to have also $t/t_{eq} < 1$. In that case $C(t, t')$ obeys the scaling form (17) and in the range of values of C where this holds, $T_F\chi$ follows the shape (41). This forces the plot to fall below the straight line of the FDT, but eventually as C decreases the plot must raise again in order to reach the value $T_F\chi = 1$ at $C = 0$. Therefore, the peculiar shape of the curves displaying a change in concavity is a consequence of a finite equilibration time. The final upward bending of the curves corresponds to interrupted aging and that is where the curves do depend on t_w . Furthermore, the range of values where the plot follows the shape (41) is larger the smaller is the value of t_w/t_{eq} . In the limiting case $t_{eq} = \infty$ aging holds for all time and the plot obeys (41) over the entire range of C values.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The relaxation dynamics of the one dimensional Ising model allows to analyse in detail the transition from the off equilibrium to the equilibrium regime. In particular, we have obtained the crossover in the FDR from the nontrivial form $X(C)$ given by (40) to $X(t, t') \equiv 1$ as a manifestation at the level of the response function of the crossover in the underlying correlation function from aging to time translation invariance.

A comment should be made about the shape of $X(C)$. In the case of the zero temperature quench (40) holds for all time. On the other hand, the zero temperature quench is a phase ordering process eventually leading to the coexistence of ordered phases as in the quench below the critical point of a system with a finite critical temperature. In the latter case $X(C)$ displays [4,5] a qualitatively different behavior decreasing from 1 and flattening to zero, while in our case $X(C)$ decreases from 1 toward 1/2 as C goes to zero. Although we do not have a complete understanding of the origin of this discrepancy, we believe this to be related to the absence in the one dimensional case of the asymmetry term in the relation between $R(t, t')$ and $C(t, t')$. In the context of Langevin dynamics one can derive [11] in full generality

$$R(t, t') = \frac{1}{2T_F} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) C(t, t') - \frac{1}{2T_F} A(t, t') \quad (42)$$

where $A(t, t')$ is the asymmetry term which vanishes for linear dynamics. From (42) the FDR takes the following general form

$$X(t, t') = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} C(t, t')}{\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} C(t, t')} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \frac{A(t, t')}{\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} C(t, t')} \quad (43)$$

and if we assume scaling $C(t, t') = f(t/t')$ the square brackets contribution is given by (38) independently from the form of $f(x)$. Therefore, if we accept that X is a function of C when scaling holds, in order to have $\lim_{C \rightarrow 0} X(C) < 1/2$ the asymmetry term must necessarily be nonzero. Now, from Eq.(28) follows that in the one dimensional Ising model with Glauber dynamics the asymmetry is absent. Indeed, in this case as Eq.s (9) and (10) show, dynamics

is linear. Another example of linear dynamics leading to $\lim_{C \rightarrow 0} X(C) = 1/2$ is the massless gaussian model [11]. Conversely, if one considers the Ising model with higher dimensionality and a finite critical temperature, the equations of motion for the two point correlation functions are coupled to higher correlation functions producing a nonlinearity which in turn is expected to produce a nonvanishing asymmetry in the off equilibrium regime.

VI. APPENDIX

In order to carry out the computation of $T_F \chi(t, t_w)$ we start from the sum of the time derivatives of $C_k(t, t')$ obtained from (13)

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) C_k(t, t') = \frac{dD_k(t')}{dt'} e^{-\gamma_k(t-t')}. \quad (44)$$

Using (14) for $D_k(t')$ and carrying out integrations by parts we find

$$\frac{dD_k(t')}{dt'} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi t'}} e^{-\xi_F^{-2} t'} - 2 \sqrt{\frac{t'}{\pi}} e^{-(k^2 + \xi_F^{-2}) t'} k^2 \int_0^1 \frac{dy}{\sqrt{y}} e^{k^2 t' y}. \quad (45)$$

Inserting this result into (44) and integrating over k we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(t, t') &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) C(t, t') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{dD_k(t')}{dt'} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(k^2 + \xi_F^{-2})(t-t')} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2}{t'(t-t')}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_F^{-2}(t+t')} - \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_F^{-2}(t+t')} \int_0^1 dy \sqrt{\frac{2t'}{y(t+t'-2yt')}} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi(t+t')} \sqrt{\frac{2(t-t')}{t'}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_F^{-2}(t+t')}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Next, Fourier transforming the equation of motion (9) one obtains

$$\frac{d}{dt} D_k(t) = -2\gamma_k D_k(t) + r(t) \quad (47)$$

with

$$r(t) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_F^{-2}t}}{\sqrt{\pi t}} + \xi_F^{-1} \text{Erf}(\sqrt{\xi_F^{-2}t}) \quad (48)$$

where Erf is the error function. Inserting (47) in the right hand side of (44) and using $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} C_k(t, t') = -\gamma_k C_k(t, t')$ one finds

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) C_k(t, t') = r(t') e^{-\frac{1}{2}(k^2 + \xi_F^{-2})(t-t')} \quad (49)$$

which after integration over k gives

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) C(t, t') = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_F^{-2}(t+t')}}{\sqrt{2t'(t-t')}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \xi_F^{-1} \frac{\text{Erf}(\sqrt{\xi_F^{-2}t'})}{\sqrt{t-t'}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi_F^{-2}(t-t')}. \quad (50)$$

Inserting this result in (28) with $i = j$ the integrated response $T_F \chi(t, t_w)$ is obtained carrying out numerically the integration in (35).

Similarly, the autocorrelation function is obtained by taking the difference of (46) and (50) and carrying out numerically the time integration in

$$C(t, t_w) = 1 + \int_{t_w}^t ds \frac{\partial}{\partial s} C(s, t_w). \quad (51)$$

Aknowledgements - This work has been partially supported from the European TMR Network-Fractals c.n. FMRXCT980183 and from MURST through PRIN-97.

Figure Captions

Fig.1 - Plot of the autocorrelation function for different values of $\tau = t'/t_{eq}$ and $t_{eq} = 10^3$.

The continuous line is the plot of the analytical solution (17) corresponding to $T_F = 0$.

Fig.2 - Plot of the integrated response for different values of t_w/t_{eq} and $t_{eq} = 10^3$. The

continuous line is the plot of the analytical solution (41) corresponding to $T_F = 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] L.F.Cugliandolo and J.Kurchan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 173 (1993); J. Phys. A**27**, 5749 (1994).
- [2] S.Franz and H.Rieger, J. Stat. Phys. **79**, 749 (1995); E.Marinari, G.Parisi, F.Ricci-Terzenghi and J.J.Ruiz-Lorenzo, J. Phys. A**31**, 2611 (1998).
- [3] L.F.Cugliandolo and D.S.Dean, J. Phys. A**28**, 4213 (1995); C.Godrèche and J.M.Luck, J. Phys. A**30**, 6245 (1997).
- [4] A.Barrat, Phys. Rev. E**57**, 3629 (1998); L.Berthier, J.L.Barrat and J.Kurchan, *Response Function for coarsening systems*, cond-mat/9903091.
- [5] L.F.Cugliandolo, *Effective temperatures out of equilibrium*, cond-mat/9903250.
- [6] L.F.Cugliandolo, J.Kurchan and L.Peliti, Phys. Rev. E**55**, 3898 (1997).
- [7] S.Franz, M.Mèzard, G.Parisi and L.Peliti, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1758 (1998); cond-mat/9903370.
- [8] R.J.Glauber, J. Math. Phys. **4**, 294 (1963).
- [9] A.J.Bray, J. Phys. A**22**, L67 (1989).
- [10] A.Prados, J.J.Brey and B.Sánchez-Rey, Europhysics Lett. **40**, 13 (1997).
- [11] L.F.Cugliandolo, J.Kurchan and G.Parisi, J. Phys. I France **4**, 1641 (1994).



